

On the existence of good divisors on Fano varieties of coindex 3

Yuri G. Prokhorov

Introduction

A normal projective variety X is called *Fano* if some multiplicity $-nK_X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of anticanonical (Weil) divisor $-K_X$ is an ample Cartier divisor. The number $r(X) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q} \mid -K_X \equiv tH, \quad H \text{ is an ample Cartier divisor}\}$ is called the *index* of a Fano variety X . A Fano variety with only log-terminal singularities (see [7]) we call briefly *log-Fano variety*, and a Fano variety with only $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and Picard number $\rho = 1$ — $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. If X is a log-Fano variety, then $\text{Pic}(X)$ is a finitely generated torsion-free group. Therefore $r(X) \in \mathbb{Q}$, $r(X) > 0$. In that case there exists the ample Cartier divisor H , called a *fundamental divisor* of X , such that $-K_X \equiv r(X)H$. It is known that $0 < r(X) \leq \dim(X) + 1$ for any log-Fano variety X (see e.g. [18]).

We say that there exists a good divisor on X if the fundamental linear system $|H|$ is non-empty and contains a reduced irreducible divisor with singularities at worst the same as singularities of the variety X (e.g. if X is non-singular, or has terminal, canonical or log-terminal singularities, then H is non-singular, or has terminal, canonical or log-terminal singularities, respectively).

For the first time the existence of good divisors was proved in three-dimensional non-singular case by Shokurov [17]. In his preprint [11] Reid used Kawamata's technique for study of linear system $|H|$ and proved the existence of good divisors for Fano threefolds with canonical singularities. Later this technique was applied for Fano fourfolds of index 2 with Picard number 1 by Wilson [14]¹ and for log-Fano varieties X of indices $r > \dim(X) - 2$ by Alexeev [1].

In the present paper we study the case $r = \dim(X) - 2$. Mukai classified such non-singular Fano varieties of any dimension under the assumption of the existence of good divisors [9], [10] (see also [15]). We investigate five-dimensional case and prove the following result which is slightly weaker than Mukai's classification claims².

Theorem 1. *Let X be a non-singular Fano fivefold of index 3 and let H be a fundamental divisor on X . Then the linear system $|H|$ contains an irreducible divisor with only canonical Gorenstein singularities.*

¹For any Picard number see [Prokhorov Yu. G. The existence of smooth divisors on Fano fourfolds of index 2, *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* 83 (1995) no. 1, 119–131]. (Added in translation).

²In the paper [Prokhorov Yu. G. On the existence of good divisors on Fano varieties of coindex 3, *II Contemporary Math. and its Appl. Plenum. 24 (1995) (to appear)*] the author generalized this result and proved that H is non-singular for $\dim X = 5$ and non-singular X . (Added in translation).

The main idea of the proof of the Theorem is to investigate the singular locus $\text{Sing}(H)$ of a general divisor H . Here the "bad" case is the case when $\dim \text{Sing}(H) = 3$. In this situation we study a three-dimensional component of $\text{Sing}(H)$, applying the minimal model program. In fact using similar arguments one can prove more general result:

Theorem 2. *Let X be a n -dimensional log-Fano variety of index $n - 2$ and let H be a fundamental divisor on X . Assume that in dimension $n - 2$ flip-conjectures I and II hold (see [7]). Then if the linear system $|H|$ is not empty and has no fixed components, then it contains an irreducible divisor with only log-terminal singularities.*

Remark. If in notations of Theorem 0.2 X has only canonical Gorenstein singularities, then $|H| \neq \emptyset$ (see 1.1).

Notations and conventions:

$\text{Bs } |H|$: the scheme-theoretic base locus of a linear system $|H|$;

$\text{Sing}(X)$: the singular locus of a variety X ;

$\equiv$: numerical equivalence of cycles;

The ground field is assumed to be the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. We will use the basic definitions and concepts of the minimal model program (see [7]).

1. Preliminary results

From Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem for varieties with log-terminal singularities (see [7]) we get

1.1. Lemma [1]. *Let X be a n -dimensional log-Fano variety of index r , H be a fundamental divisor on X and $H^n = d$. Then*

(i) *If $r > n - 2$, then $\dim |H| = n - 2 + d(r - n + 3)/2 > 0$. Moreover $r = n - 3 + 2k/d$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > d/2$;*

(ii) *If $r = n - 2$ and X has only canonical Gorenstein singularities, then $\dim |H| = g + n - 2 > 0$, where $2g - 2 = d$, $g \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g \geq 2$.*

In [2] Fujita defined Δ -genus of a polarized n -dimensional variety (X, H) as $\Delta(X, H) = H^n - h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) + n$.

1.2. Corollary. *In notations (i) of Lemma 1.3 we have $\Delta(X, H) = d - k + 1$.*

1.3. Theorem [2]. $\Delta(X, H) \geq \dim(\text{Bs } |H|) + 1 \geq 0$ for any polarized variety (X, H) . Moreover if $\Delta(X, H) = 0$, then the divisor H is very ample.

The following is a consequence from the classification of polarized varieties of Δ -genus zero [2]

1.4. Corollary (Fujita). *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefold of index $r > 2$. Then either*

$$X = \mathbb{P}^3,$$

$$X = Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \text{ is a smooth quadric, or}$$

$X = X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is a projective cone over the Veronese surface.

1.5. Theorem [4], [12]. *Let X be a three-dimensional Fano variety of index 2 with only canonical Gorenstein singularities, let H be a fundamental divisor on X and $d := H^3$. Then*

- (i) *if $d \geq 3$, then H is very ample,*
- (ii) *if $d = 2$, then the linear system $|H|$ defines a finite morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 2.*

2. Kawamata's technique

We describe briefly the technique of resolution of base loci of linear systems on Fano varieties in connection with our situation (see [11], and also [1],[14]).

Let X be a non-singular Fano fivefold of index 3 and let H be a fundamental divisor on X . By Lemma 1.1, $|H| \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist a resolution $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and a divisor $\sum E_i$ on Y with only simple normal crossings such that

$$1) \quad K_Y \equiv f^*K_X + \sum a_i E_i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a_i \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $a_i \neq 0$ only if $f_*E_i = 0$;

$$2) \quad f^*|H| = |L| + \sum r_i E_i, \quad r_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r_i \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where the linear system $|L|$ is free;

3) $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $qf^*H - \sum p_i E_i$ is ample for some $0 < p_i \ll 1$, $0 < q < \min\{1/r_i | r_i \neq 0\}$.

Set $c = \min\{(a_i + 1 - p_i)/r_i | r_i \neq 0\}$. By changing coefficients p_i a little one can attain that the minimum will achieve for only one index, say for $i = 0$. By Kleiman's criterion for ampleness, the following $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor

$$\begin{aligned} N = N(t) &= tf^*H + \sum (-cr_i + a_i - p_i)E_i - K_Y \equiv \\ &\equiv cL + f^*(t - c + 3)H - \sum p_i E_i, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

is ample for $t - c + 3 \geq q > 0$. Since $-cr_0 + a_0 - p_0 = -1$ and $-cr_i + a_i - p_i > -1$ for $i \neq 0$, for the rounding-up of N we have $N = tfH - K + A - E$, where $E = E_0$, $A \geq 0$ and A consists of exceptional for f components of $\sum E_i$.

2.1. Lemma [1],[11]. *If $4 \geq c + q$, then $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(f^*H + A)) = 0$. In particular, $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(f^*H)) = 0$.*

Proof. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (see [6],[13]) the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*H + A - E)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*H + A)) \rightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(f^*H + A)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. If $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(f^*H + A)) \neq 0$, then we get a contradiction with the fact that E is a fixed component of the linear system $|f^*H + A|$.

2.2. Lemma [1],[11]. *For constants a_i and r_i in formulas (2.1) and (2.2) the inequality $a_i + 1 \geq r_i$ holds for any i .*

2.3. Lemma. *Assume that $a_i + 1 = r_i$ for some i . Then then there are the following possibilities for the divisor $E = E_0$:*

- (i) $a_0 = 0$, $r_0 = 1$, $\dim(f(E)) = 4$, and $f(E)$ is a fixed component of $|H|$ of multiplicity 1;
- (ii) $a_0 = 1$, $r_0 = 2$, $\dim(f(E)) = 3$, and a general divisor $|H|$ has only double singularities along $f(E)$.

Proof. Since $a_i + 1 = r_i$, we have $c \leq 1$. Set $d := \dim(f(E))$. Consider the following polynomial of degree $\leq d$: $p(t) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_E(tf^*H + A))$. For $t > q - 2$ $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $N(t)$ is ample and by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (see [6],[13]), $p(t) = h^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(tf^*H + A))$, i. e. the polynomial $p(t)$ has zero for $t = -1$, and also, by Lemma 2.1, one more zero for $t = 1$. On the other hand, $p(0) = h^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(A)) = 1$. Hence, if $d \leq 2$, then $p(t) \leq 0$ for $t \gg 0$, a contradiction with ampleness of H . Therefore, $d = 4$ and $d = 3$.

2.4. Lemma. *Let E_k be a component of divisor $\sum E_i$ such that $\dim f(E_k) = 3$ and $x \in f(E_k)$ be a general point. Then a general divisor H is non-singular or has only double normal crossing singularities³ at x .*

Proof. A general surface $X' = D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_3$, where $D_i \in |mH|$, $m \gg 0$ is non-singular. Moreover for the corresponding resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

we have

$$K_Y = f^*K_{X'} + \sum a_i E'_{ij}, \quad f^*|H'| = |L'| + \sum r_i E'_{ij}$$

where E'_{ij} is a component of $Y' \cap E_i$. Assume that a general divisor H has worse singularity at x than double normal crossings. Then the curve H' has worse singularity at the point x than ordinary double. If $x \in H'$ is not simple cusp, then for the "first" blow-up at x we have $a_1 = 1$, $r_1 \geq 2$, and for the "second" blow-up — $a_2 = 2$, $r_2 \geq 4$, a contradiction with Lemma 2.2. But if $x \in H'$ is a simple cusp, then similar we have $a_1 = 1$, $r_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 2$, $r_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 4$, $r_4 = 6$, again it contradicts to Lemma 2.2. Thus H' has at x only ordinary double point. This proves our lemma.

3. Some corollaries from the minimal model program

3.1. Theorem [8]. *Let S be a projective three-dimensional variety. Then there exist birational morphisms $\mu : W' \rightarrow S$, $v : W \rightarrow W'$ and $\tau = \mu \circ v : W \rightarrow S$ with the following properties:*

- (i) W has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities, W' has only canonical singularities;
- (ii) K_W is τ -numerically effective, $K_{W'}$ is μ -ample;
- (iii) morphism v is crepant, i. e. $K_W = v^*K_{W'}$;
- (iv) if $\alpha : V \rightarrow S$ is any birational morphism, V has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities, then the map $\tau^{-1} \circ \alpha : V \dashrightarrow W$ is a composition of contractions of extremal rays and flips.

³ i. e. $x \in H$ is analytically isomorphic to $(0 \in \{x_1 x_2 = 0\}) \subset (0 \in \mathbb{C}^5)$. (Added in translation).

Definition. Varieties W and W' from Theorem 3.1 are called by *terminal* and *canonical modifications* of S , respectively.

3.2. Theorem [3, §3-4]. *Let W be a three-dimensional projective variety with only $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and N be a numerically effective big Cartier divisor on W . Assume that there exists an extremal ray on W is generated by a class of a curve ℓ such that $(K_W + 2N) \cdot \ell < 0$ and let $\varphi : W \rightarrow C$ be its contraction. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\varphi : W \rightarrow C$ is a birational morphism and $\ell \cdot N = 0$;
- (ii) W and C are smooth, $\dim C = 1$, $W = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ and $N = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 3 on C ;
- (iii) C is a point and W is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of index $r > 2$.

3.3. Proposition. *Let $\alpha : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ be a birational morphism of three-dimensional normal projective varieties, where $\hat{S}$ has only $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities, and let M be an ample Cartier divisor on S . Assume that $K_{\hat{S}} = -2\hat{M} - \hat{R} + \hat{D}$, where $\hat{M} = \alpha^*M$, $\hat{R}$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, $\hat{D}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that all the components of $\hat{D}$ are contracted by the morphism α . Then for terminal and canonical modifications $\tau : W \rightarrow S$ and $\mu : W' \rightarrow S$, and also for Cartier divisors $N = \tau^*M$ and $N' = \mu^*M$ we have only one of the following possibilities:*

- (i) $W = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, $N = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$, where C is a smooth curve, $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf on C rank 3;
- (ii) $S = W = W' = \mathbb{P}^3$, $M = N = N' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$;
- (iii) $S = W = W' = Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a non-singular quadric, $M = N = N' = \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$;
- (iv) $S = W = W' = W_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is a cone over the Veronese surface, $M = N = N' = \mathcal{O}_W(1)$;
- (v) $S = W'$ is a Fano variety of index 2 with only canonical Gorenstein singularities, $-K_{W'} = 2N' = 2M$, W has only isolated cDV-points, $-K_W = 2N$ is a numerically effective big divisor.
- (vi) $S = W = W' = \mathbb{P}^3$, $M = N = N' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, the birational map $\beta := \tau^{-1} \circ \alpha : \hat{S} \dashrightarrow W$ is a composition of contractions of extremal rays and flips. In particular the inverse map $W \dashrightarrow \hat{S}$ doesn't contract divisors. Therefore

$$K_W = -2N - B + G, \quad (3.1)$$

where $N := \tau^*M$, B is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on W , and G is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that all its components are contracted by the morphism τ . From (3.1) we have

$$K_{W'} = -2N' - B' + G', \quad (3.2)$$

where $N' := \mu^*M$, $B' = v_*B$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor on W and $G' = v_*G$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor such that all the components of G' are contracted by the morphism μ . First we

assume that $B = G = 0$. Then $B' = G' = 0$ and $-K_{W'} = 2N'$. If c is a curve in a fiber of μ , then $K_{W'} \cdot c = -2N' \cdot c = 0$, this contradicts to μ -ampleness of $K_{W'}$. So μ is a finite birational morphism on the normal variety S . Hence μ is an isomorphism and $-K_{W'} = 2N'$ is an ample divisor. We obtain cases (v), (vi). Now let $B \neq 0$ or $G \neq 0$. We claim that $K_W + 2N$ is not numerically effective. Indeed, in the opposite case $-B + G = K_W + 2N$ is numerically effective and for any irreducible curve on S we have $0 \leq (-B + G) \cdot \tau^*c = -B \cdot \tau^*c$. Thus $\tau_*B = 0$, i. e. we may assume that $B = 0$. But then by the Base Point Free Theorem (see e. g. [18],[7]), the linear system $|kG|$ is free for $k \gg 0$, it contradicts to contractedness of the divisor G . Further by the Cone Theorem (see [7]), there exists an extremal ray on W generated by a class of a curve ℓ such that $(K_W + 2N) \cdot \ell < 0$. Let $\varphi : W \rightarrow C$ be the contraction of this extremal ray. Note that if $\ell \cdot N = 0$, then ℓ is contained in a fiber of morphism τ . It contradicts τ -numerical effectiveness of the divisor K_W . Using Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 1.7, we obtain cases (i)-(iv).

3.4. Lemma. *In the case (i) of Proposition 3.3 the curve C can be rational or elliptic.*

Proof. Denote by F the class of a fiber of the projection $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$. We have the standard formula

$$K_W = -3N + \varphi(\det(\mathcal{E}) + K_C).$$

Set $d := \deg \mathcal{E}$. Then

$$K_W \equiv -3N + (2g(C) - 2 + d)F. \quad (3.3)$$

Comparing (3.1) and (3.3) we obtain

$$B \equiv N - (2g(C) - 2 + d)F + G \quad (3.4)$$

We may assume that the morphism τ does not contract components of B . In the Chow ring $A(W)$ the following conditions are satisfied

$$N^3 = d, \quad N^2 \cdot F = 1, \quad F^2 = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover $d = N^3 = M^3 \geq 1$ because $N = \tau^*M$.

Assume that an irreducible divisor P is contracted by the morphism τ . Then $P \equiv aN + bF$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and from (3.5) one can see $0 = N^2 \cdot P = da + b$ and $0 \leq N \cdot F \cdot P = da$, i. e. $P \equiv a(N - dF)$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$. If τ contracts one more irreducible divisor P' , then $P' \equiv a'(N - dF)$, $a' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq P \cdot P' \cdot N = -aa'd < 0$. The contradiction shows that τ may contracts at most one irreducible divisor on W , i. e. $G = 0$ or $G = pP$, where $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $P \equiv a(N - dF)$ is an irreducible exceptional divisor. First assume that $B = 0$. Then from (3.4) and (3.5) we have $N \equiv (2g(C) - 2 + d)F - G$, $d = N^3 = (2g(C) - 2 + d)N^2 \cdot F = 2g(C) - 2 + d$, i. e. $g(C) = 1$. But if $B \neq 0$, then $0 < N^2 \cdot B = 2 - 2g(C)$, i. e. $g(C) = 0$.

3.5. Corollary. *In conditions of Proposition 3.3 we have $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(M)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since S is normal, then it is sufficient to prove only that $H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(N)) \neq 0$ or $H(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'}(N')) \neq 0$. The last two inequalities are easy in cases (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi), and in the case (v) they follow from Lemma 1.1. Consider the case (i). Then $H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(N)) = H^0(C, \mathcal{E})$ and by Riemann-Roch on C we have $h^0(C, \mathcal{E}) = 3(1 - g) + d + h^1(C, \mathcal{E}) \geq 1$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let X be a non-singular Fano fivefold of index 3 and let H be a fundamental divisor on X . Then by Lemma 1.1, $\dim |H| \geq 6$. It follows from results of [16] that $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$, except the following three cases: $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^3$, X is a divisor of bidegree (1,1) on $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ or X is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^5$ along $\mathbb{P}^1$. In all of these cases it is easy to check directly that the linear system $|H|$ contains a smooth divisor. Thus we will suppose that $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$. In particular we suppose that the linear system $|H|$ has no fixed components. We will use all the notations of Section 2. The following proposition is the main step in the proof of Theorem 1.

4.1. Proposition. $r_i < a_i + 1, \forall i$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.2, $r_i \leq a_i + 1$. Assume that $r_i = a_i + 1$ for some i . Then $c := \min\{(a_i + 1 - p_i)/r_i | r_i \neq 0\} \leq 1$. Set $Z := f(E)$ (with reduced structure). By Lemma 2.3 a general divisor H has only double normal crossings singularities in a general point $x \in Z$. We may suppose that the resolution $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a composition $h : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, where $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of singularities of a general divisor H (i.e. we fix some general divisor H and suppose that g is a composition of blow-ups with centers in subvarieties contained in singular locus of H). We have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = g^*K_X + \sum a'_i E'_i, \quad (4.1)$$

$$g^*H = \tilde{H} + \sum r'_i E'_i, \quad r_i \geq 2, \quad (4.2)$$

(here $\tilde{H}$ is a proper transform of H , $\sum E'_i$ is an exceptional divisor). For corresponding E_i, E'_i ; r_i, r'_i and a_i, a'_i the following conditions are satisfied:

$$h(E_i) = E'_i, \quad r_i \leq r'_i, \quad a_i = a'_i.$$

Denote by E' the component of the divisor $\sum E'_i$, corresponding E . According to Lemma 2.4, there are two possibilities:

$$(I) \quad \tilde{H} \cap E' = \tilde{Z} + \tilde{Z}_1 + \tilde{Z}_0, \quad (4.3)$$

where restrictions $g : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ and $g : \tilde{Z}_1 \rightarrow Z$ are birational, $g_*\tilde{Z}_0 = 0$;

$$(II) \quad \tilde{H} \cap E' = \tilde{Z} + \tilde{Z}_0, \quad (4.4)$$

where the restriction $g : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is generically finite of degree 2, $g_*\tilde{Z}_0 = 0$.

We study the variety $\tilde{Z}$ and corresponding morphism $\psi := g|_{\tilde{Z}} : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$. Denote by T the Cartier divisor $H|_Z$ on Z .

4.2. Lemma. $K_{\tilde{Z}} = -2\tilde{T} - \tilde{B} + \tilde{G}$, where $\tilde{T}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{G}$ Cartier divisors on $\tilde{Z}$, $\tilde{G}$ is contracted by the morphism ψ , $\tilde{B}$ is effective and $\tilde{T} := \psi^*T$.

Proof. Consider for example the case I. The variety $\tilde{Z}$ is Gorenstein because it is divisor on a non-singular variety. By the adjunction formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\tilde{Z}} &= (K_{\tilde{H}} + \tilde{Z})|_{\tilde{Z}} = (K_{\tilde{X}}|_{\tilde{H}} + \tilde{H}|_{\tilde{H}} + \tilde{Z})|_{\tilde{Z}} \\ &= -2\tilde{T} + \left(\sum (a'_i - r'_i) E'_i|_{\tilde{H}} + E'|_{\tilde{H}} - \tilde{Z}_1 - \tilde{Z}_0 \right)|_{\tilde{Z}} = \end{aligned}$$

$$-2\tilde{T} + \left(\sum_{i \neq 0} (a'_i - r'_i) E'_i|_{\tilde{H}} - \tilde{Z}_1 - \tilde{Z}_0 \right) \Big|_{\tilde{Z}}$$

(because $a'_0 = 1$, $r'_0 = 2$). It follows from 2.6 that $\tilde{Z}$ is not contained in E'_i for $i \neq 0$. It is sufficient to prove that in the last formula $a'_i \leq r'_i$, if the corresponding divisor $E'_i|_{\tilde{Z}}$ is not contracted by the morphism ψ . If $\dim(g(E'_i)) \leq 1$, then for every component F' of the divisor $E'_i|_{\tilde{Z}}$ we have $\dim(\psi(F')) \leq \dim(g(E')) \leq 1 < \dim(F')$, i. e. F' is contracted by the morphism ψ in this case. But if $\dim(g(E'_i)) = 3$, then by Lemma 2.4, $r'_i \geq a'_i$. It remains to prove only that the case $\dim(g(E'_i)) = 2$ and $a'_i > r'_i$ is impossible. Suppose that $a'_i > r'_i$ for some i and consider the following partial ordering of the set $\{E'_j\}$: $E'_j \succ E'_k$ (or simply $j \succ k$), if and only if the center of the k -th blow-up is contained in the j -th exceptional divisor. Then the divisor E'_i cannot be maximal, otherwise $a'_i = 2$, $r'_i \geq 2$, that contradicts our assumption. Let $\sigma_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ be the blow-up of a smooth surface corresponding to the divisor E'_i . Then $K_{X_i} = \sigma_i^* K_{X_{i-1}} + 2\bar{E}_i$, $\sigma_i^* H_{i-1} = H_i + m\bar{E}_i$, $m \geq 2$, where $\bar{E}_i$ is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up σ_i (its proper transform on $\tilde{X}$ is the divisor E'_i), H_{i-1} and H_i are proper transforms of H on X_{i-1} and X_i , respectively. We obtain

$$a'_i = 2 + \sum_{j \succ i} a'_j, \quad r'_i = m + \sum_{j \succ i} r'_j, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Hence $\sum_{j \succ i} a'_j > \sum_{j \succ i} r'_j$ (we assume, that $a'_i > r'_i$). Therefore there exists j such that $j \succ i$, $\dim(g(E'_j)) \geq 2$ and $a'_j > r'_j$. Thus we may obtain the maximal element E'_j . According the above for that maximal element we have $\dim(g(E'_j)) \neq 2$, i. e. $\dim(g(E'_j)) = 3$, but then by Lemma 2.4 $a'_j = 1$, $r'_j \geq 2$ and the inequality $a'_j > r'_j$ is impossible. Lemma is proved.

4.3. Lemma. *There exists a resolution $\sigma : \hat{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ such that*

$$K_{\hat{Z}} = -2\hat{T} - \hat{B} + \hat{G}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $\hat{T}$, $\hat{B}$, $\hat{G}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on $\hat{Z}$, $\hat{G}$ is contracted by the morphism $\hat{\psi} := \psi \circ \sigma$, $\hat{B}$ is effective and $\hat{T} = \hat{\psi}^* T$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that formulas (4.5), (4.6) are preserved under one blow-up $\sigma_1 : \hat{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ of non-singular k -dimensional subvariety in $\tilde{Z}$ ($k \leq 2$). Let $\hat{E}$ be an exceptional divisor of σ_1 and $\hat{Z}$ be the proper transform of $\tilde{Z}$. Then $K_{\hat{H}} = \sigma_1^* K_{\tilde{H}} + (3-k)\hat{E}$, $\hat{Z} \sim \sigma_1^* \tilde{Z} - p\hat{E}$, $p \geq 2$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ (remind that we assumed that $\tilde{Z}$ is singular along our suvariety). Thus by the ajunction formula $K_{\hat{Z}} = \sigma_1^* K_{\tilde{Z}} + (3-k-p)\hat{E}|_{\hat{Z}}$, where $\hat{E}$ is contracted by the morphism σ_1 (the case $k \leq 1$) or $k = 2$, $3-k-p = 1-p < 0$, i. e. formulas (4.5), (4.6) are preserved.

Now applying Proposition 3.3 we obtain the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{Z} = \hat{S} & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{\beta} & W' & \xrightarrow[\tau]{v} & W \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & S & & \\ & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ & & Z' & & \\ & & \downarrow \nu & & \\ & & Z & & \end{array} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\nu : Z' \rightarrow Z$ is the normalization of Z , $\pi : S \rightarrow Z'$ is the normalization of Z' in the function field of Z . In the case I π is an isomorphism. In the case II π is a finite morphism of degree 2, and $Z' \simeq S/\Gamma$, where Γ is the group of order 2. The other notations we fix the same as in Theorem 3.1. For W and W' we have only possibilities (i)-(vi) from Proposition 3.4. Set $M := \pi^*T'$.

The divisor E is a proper transform of the exceptional divisor of some blow-up of a non-singular model Z'' of the variety Z on X'' . Let $Z'' \rightarrow Z$ be a corresponding birational morphism. Clearly that it factors through the normalization $Z'' \xrightarrow{\nu'} Z' \xrightarrow{\nu} Z$. If $r_i \geq a_i + 1$ for some i , then by Lemma 2.1 $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(f^*H)) = 0$. Therefore to prove Proposition 4.1 it is sufficient to show that

$$H^0(Z'', \nu'^* \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(T')) \neq 0$$

or

$$H^0(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(T')) \neq 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where $T' := \nu^*T$, $T := H|_Z$. In the case I the inequality (4.8) follows from 3.5. Consider the case II. Then $Z' = S/\Gamma$ and $H^0(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(T')) = H^0(Z', \pi_* \mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(T'))^\Gamma = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(M))^\Gamma$. Further we assume that $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(M))^\Gamma = 0$.

4.4. Lemma. *If $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(M))^\Gamma = 0$, then the rational map $S \dashrightarrow S_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{\dim |M|}$ associated with the linear system $|M|$ is not birational on its image.*

Proof. If $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(M))^\Gamma = 0$, then the action of Γ on the linear system $|M|$ is trivial and if $|M|$ defines the rational map $S \dashrightarrow S_0$, then it factors through quotient morphism by Γ : $S \xrightarrow{\pi} S/\Gamma = Z' \dashrightarrow S_0$.

Lemma 4.4 excludes cases (ii),(iii),(iv),(vi) of Proposition 3.3. In the case (v) of Proposition 3.3 by Theorem 1.5, possibility $M^3 \geq 3$ is also impossible. On the other hand, we have $M^3 = (\pi^*T')^3 = 2(T')^3 \geq 2$. If $M^3 = 2$, then again by Theorem 1.5 $S_0 = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $S \dashrightarrow S_0$ is a finite morphism of degree 2, so $S/\Gamma = S_0 = \mathbb{P}^3$. It gives us inequality (4.8).

Finally let S and W be as in (i) of Proposition 3.3. By Lemma 3.4, $g(C) = 1$ or $g(C)=0$. If $g(C)=0$, then the sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ is decomposable: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d_3)$, where $d_i \geq 0$. Then the linear system $|N| = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|$ defines a birational map (see e. g. [5]), again we have a contradiction with Lemma 4.4. The last case 3.3 (i) and $g(C) = 1$ is treated similar to 3.3 (v). Here instead Theorem 1.5 we use the following

4.5. Lemma. *Let W and N be as in (i) of Proposition 3.3. Assume also that $g(C) = 1$ and $d := N^3 \geq 2$. Then the linear system $|N|$ on W defines a morphism $W \rightarrow W_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$. Moreover this morphism is finite of degree 2 if $d = 2$ and birational if $d \geq 3$.*

Proof. It follows from proof of Lemma 3.4 that the morphism τ contracts a surface $P \equiv a(N - dF)$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$ to a curve. We claim that $a = 1$. Indeed in the opposite case every divisor from $|N|$ is irreducible. Then N is a smooth geometrically ruled surface over C and $P|_N$ is an effective divisor with negative self-intersection number. It is known in this case that $P|_N$ is a section of the ruled surface N , hence $a = 1$. Therefore P is also a non-singular geometrically ruled surface over C . The morphism τ maps P onto curve and fibers of $P \rightarrow C$ are not contracted. It gives us that $P \simeq C \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\varphi^* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_W(N)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(N)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of degree d on C such that $\mathcal{O}_W(N - P) = \varphi^*\mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_P(N)$ on $P \simeq C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has bidegree $(0,1)$. So $h^0(\mathcal{O}_P(N)) = 2$, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_W(N)) = d + 2$ and P is not a fixed component in $|N|$. From (4.9) and $d \geq 2$ we get, that the linear system $|N|$ is free and defines a morphism $\tau_0 : W \rightarrow W_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$. Moreover $\deg \tau_0 \cdot \deg W_0 = N^3 = d$. Applying to variety $W_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ the inequality $\deg W_0 \geq \text{codim } W_0 + 1$ we obtain $\deg \tau_0 = 2$ for $d = 2$, and $\deg \tau_0 = 1$ for $d \geq 3$.

Thus Proposition 4.1 is proved.

Now we prove that Proposition 4.1 implies Theorem 1. By our assumption every divisor H is irreducible and for general H we have $\dim \text{Sing}(H) < 3$ (see 2.4, 4.1). Therefore a general divisor H is normal. For such H by the adjunction formula and by Proposition 4.1 we have $K_L = f|_L^*(K_H) + \sum(a_i - r_i)E_i|_L$ and $a_i - r_i \geq 0$, where $f|_L : L \rightarrow H$ is the corresponding resolution of singularities of H . It is equivalent the fact that H has only canonical singularities. This proves our theorem.

References

- [1] *Alexeev V.A.* Theorem about good divisors on log Fano varieties // Lect. Notes in Math. 1991. Vol. 1479. P. 1–9.
- [2] *Fujita T.* On the structure of polarised varieties with Δ -genera zero // J. Fac. Sci. Univ Tokyo. 1975 Vol. 22. P. 103–115.
- [3] *Fujita T.* Remarks on quasi-polarised varieties // Nagoya Math. J. 1989 Vol. 115. P. 105–123.
- [4] *Fujita T.* On singular Del Pezzo varieties // Lect. Notes in Math. 1990 Vol. 1417. P. 117–128.
- [5] *Iskovskikh V. A.* Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties // M:VINITI, 1979. V. 12. P. 159–236; (Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki). English transl., J. Soviet Math. 1980 Vol. 13. P. 745–814.
- [6] *Kawamata Y.* A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam’s vanishing theorems // Math. Ann. 1982 Vol. 261. P. 43–46.
- [7] *Kawamata Y., Matsuda K., Matsuki K.* Introduction to the minimal model program // Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. 1987 Vol. 10. P. 283–360.
- [8] *Mori S.* Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds // J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1988 Vol. 1. P. 117–253.
- [9] *Mukai S.* Fano 3-folds // London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 1992 Vol. 179. P. 255–263.
- [10] *Mukai S.* Biregular classification of Fano threefolds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3 // Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1989 Vol. 86. P. 3000–3002.
- [11] *Reid M.* Projective morphism according to Kawamata // Warwick (Preprint) 1983.
- [12] *Shin K.-H.* 3-dimensional Fano varieties with canonical singularities // Tokyo J. of Math 1989 Vol. 12. P. 375–385.

- [13] *Viehweg E.* Vanishing theorems // J. Reine Agnew. Math. 1982 Vol. 335. P. 1–8.
- [14] *Wilson P. M. H.* Fano fourfolds of index greater than one // J. Reine Agnew. Math. 1987 Vol. 389. P. 172–181.
- [15] *Wiśniewski J. A.* On Fano 4-folds of index 2 with $b_2 \geq 0$. A contributin to Mukai’s classification // Bull Polish Acad. Sci. 1990 Vol. 398. P. 173–184.
- [16] *Wiśniewski J. A.* On Fano manifolds of large index // Manuscr. Math. 1991 Vol. 70. P. 145–152.
- [17] *Shokurov V. V.* Smoothness of the general anticanonical divisor of a Fano 3-fold // Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 1978 Vol. 43. P. 430–441; English transl., Math. USSR Izvestiya 1980 Vol. 14. P. 395–405.
- [18] *Shokurov V. V.* The non-vanishing theorem // Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 1985 Vol. 49. P. 635–651; English transl., Math. USSR Izvestiya 1986 Vol. 26. P. 591–604.

Chair of Algebra
 Department of Mathematics and Mechanics
 Moscow State University
 Moscow, 117 234, Russia
 E-mail: prokhorov@mech.math.msu.su